UCLA, Department of Economics, Patrik Guggenberger Economics 203C (Spring, 2009) April 16, 2009

## Problem Set III (due 4/28/09)

1. This exercise continues the investigation of the minimum distance (MD) estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta_0$ , of Problem Sets I and II, where asymptotic normality of the MD estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  was derived. (i) Assume  $\hat{V}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $V_0$  (the asymptotic covariance

(i) Assume  $V_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $V_0$  (the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\pi}_n - \pi_0)$ ). How would you estimate the asymptotic covariance matrix of the MD estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ ?

(ii) Find the optimal choice of the weighting matrix  $A_n$ .

2. This exercise continues the Monte Carlo experiments of Problem Sets I and II. (same model and notation). This time we are interested in the finite sample size and power properties of a Wald test of the null hypothesis  $H_0: \beta = 0$  versus the alternative  $H_1: \beta \neq 0$ .

(i) Denote the true  $\beta$  in the structural equation  $y_i = x_i\beta + \varepsilon_i$  by  $\beta_0$ . For the eight parameter combinations K = (1, 10),  $\rho = (.5, .99)$  and  $\eta = (.05, 1)$  and for each  $\beta_0 \in S := \{-.8, -.6, ..., .6, .8\}$  simulate R = 1000 data samples and each time calculate the Wald statistic based on the TSLS estimator. Each time, reject the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$  if the Wald statistic is larger than the 5% asymptotic critical value. For each  $\beta_0 \in S$  and each of the eight parameter combinations, report the finite sample rejection probabilities. (If  $\beta_0 = 0$ , you are reporting the rejection probability under the null, if  $\beta_0 \neq 0$  you are reporting the finite sample power of the test against the particular alternative.)

(ii) Discuss your results. In particular, how do the results vary with  $K, \rho$ , and  $\eta$ ?

3. Using the same notation as in exercise 2., define

$$g_{i}(\beta) := (y_{i} - x_{i}'\beta)Z_{i}, \ G_{i} := (\partial g_{i}/\partial\beta)(\beta) = -Z_{i}x_{i}',$$
  

$$\widehat{g}(\beta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(\beta)/n, \ \widehat{\Omega}(\beta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(\beta)g_{i}(\beta)'/n,$$
  

$$D(\beta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\widehat{g}(\beta)'\widehat{\Omega}(\beta)^{-1}g_{i}(\beta) - 1)G_{i}/n,$$
  

$$LM_{CUE}(\beta) := n\widehat{g}(\beta)'\widehat{\Omega}(\beta)^{-1}D(\beta)[D(\beta)'\widehat{\Omega}(\beta)^{-1}D(\beta)]^{-1}D(\beta)'\widehat{\Omega}(\beta)^{-1}\widehat{g}(\beta).$$

(i) Show that for  $n \to \infty$  we have  $LM_{CUE}(\beta_0) \to_d \chi^2_{\dim \beta_0}$ , where  $\beta_0$  is the true structural parameter vector. (Hint: First derive the asymptotic distribution of  $n^{1/2}\widehat{g}(\beta_0)$  and then the probability limits of  $D(\beta_0)$  and  $\widehat{\Omega}(\beta_0)$ . You can assume iid observations but not conditional homoskedasticity. State any additional assumptions you use.)

(ii) Redo the Monte Carlo exercise 2., using  $LM_{CUE}$  instead of the Wald statistic. Then, compare the performance of the two test statistics.

4. Prove that (i)  $O_p(1)o_p(1) = o_p(1)$ , (ii)  $X_n \to_d X$ , for a random variable X, implies  $X_n = O_p(1)$ , and (iii) discuss what the problem is with a Wald type hypothesis test of  $H_0: h(\theta) = 0$  versus  $H_1: h(\theta) \neq 0$  that rejects at nominal size  $\alpha$  when the Wald test statistic  $W_n$  is *smaller* than the  $\alpha$  quantile  $\chi^2_{r,\alpha}$  of a chi-square distribution with r degress of freedom.