

Problem Set 6 Solution

May 21st, 2009 by Yang

1. Causal Expression of AR(2)

Let $\phi(z) := (1 - \alpha z)(1 - \beta z)$. Zeros of $\phi(\cdot)$ are $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta}$, both of which are greater than 1 in absolute value by the assumption in the question. By the theorem mentioned in the lecture, we can write y_t as causal expression

$$y_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varepsilon_{t-j} = \frac{1}{\phi(L)} \varepsilon_t$$

Note that for $|z| < 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\phi(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha z} \frac{1}{1 - \beta z} = (1 + \alpha z + \alpha^2 z^2 + \dots) (1 + \beta z + \beta^2 z^2 + \dots)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= (1 + \alpha L + \alpha^2 L^2 + \dots) (1 + \beta L + \beta^2 L^2 + \dots) \varepsilon_t \\ &= (1 + (\alpha + \beta)L + (\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2)L^2 + \dots) \varepsilon_t \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \alpha^k \beta^{j-k} \right) L^j \right) \varepsilon_t \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^j \alpha^k \beta^{j-k} \varepsilon_{t-j} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$c_j = \sum_{k=0}^j \alpha^k \beta^{j-k}$$

2. Estimation of AR(1)

We have observations (y_1, y_2) . We need to obtain the distribution of y_1 to do the MLE. Let us guess $y_1 \sim N(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$. Since $y_2 = \alpha y_1 + \varepsilon_2$,

$$y_2 \sim N(\alpha \mu_y, \alpha^2 \sigma_y^2 + \sigma^2)$$

The AR(1) model is stationary, so every observation has the same mean and variance. This implies that the unconditional mean and variance of y_2 is the same with those of y_1 . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \mu_y &= \mu_y \\ \alpha^2 \sigma_y^2 + \sigma^2 &= \sigma_y^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$y_1 \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \alpha^2}\right)$$

The likelihood function is now

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha, \sigma^2) &= f(y_1; \alpha, \sigma^2) f(y_2|y_1; \alpha, \sigma^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/(1-\alpha^2)}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma^2/(1-\alpha^2)}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_2 - \alpha y_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \sigma^2) &= \log f(y_1; \alpha, \sigma^2) + \log f(y_2|y_1; \alpha, \sigma^2) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2} - \frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma^2/(1-\alpha^2)}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{(y_2 - \alpha y_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= -\log 2\pi - \log \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log(1-\alpha^2) - \frac{y_1^2(1-\alpha^2)}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(y_2 - \alpha y_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

Obtain the FOC's as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha^2} + \frac{y_1^2 \alpha}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(y_2 - \alpha y_1) y_1}{\sigma^2} &= 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{y_1^2(1-\alpha^2)}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{(y_2 - \alpha y_1)^2}{2\sigma^4} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The equations can be simplified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha\sigma^2 + (1-\alpha^2)y_1y_2 &= 0 \\ -2\sigma^2 + y_1^2 - 2\alpha y_1y_2 + y_2^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

From the second equation, obtain an expression of σ^2 in terms of y_1 , y_2 and α as

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{y_1^2 - 2\alpha y_1 y_2 + y_2^2}{2}$$

and plug in into the first equation, then

$$-\alpha \left(\frac{y_1^2 - 2\alpha y_1 y_2 + y_2^2}{2} \right) + (1 - \alpha^2) y_1 y_2 = 0$$

or

$$-\alpha (y_1^2 + y_2^2) + 2y_1 y_2 = 0$$

and thus

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{2y_1 y_2}{y_1^2 + y_2^2}$$

Plug this back into the equations, then

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(y_1^2 - y_2^2)^2}{2(y_1^2 + y_2^2)}$$

3. Size of T-test in AR(1) Model

Let $c = \mu$, then $y_t = c + \alpha y_{t-1} + u_t$. The OLS estimator is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{c} \\ \hat{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} y_t$$

and the variance is estimated by

$$\text{var}(\hat{\alpha}) = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2 := \frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{c} - \hat{\alpha} y_{t-1})^2$. The nominal 95% two-sided CI for α is obtained as follows.

$$CI_{0.95} = \left[\hat{\alpha} - 1.96 \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\alpha})}, \hat{\alpha} + 1.96 \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{\alpha})} \right]$$

Then the empirical coverage probability $\widehat{\Pr}(\alpha \in CI_{0.95}) = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^R 1(\alpha \in CI_{0.95}^r)$ is given as follows.

| True alpha | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0.99 |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Mean Bias | -0.0136 | -0.0202 | -0.0274 | -0.0320 | -0.0070 |
| Median Bias | -0.0170 | -0.0181 | -0.0203 | -0.0252 | -0.0053 |
| St. Dev. | 0.1000 | 0.0920 | 0.0822 | 0.0503 | 0.0134 |
| R.M.S.E. | 0.1009 | 0.0941 | 0.0866 | 0.0596 | 0.0152 |
| Cov. Prob. | 0.9495 | 0.9540 | 0.9505 | 0.9290 | 0.9230 |

In fact, there seems to exist no problem. Note that, however, the given process is nonstationary, since the unconditional mean and variance of y_t depends on t . Correct this by letting $c = \mu(1 - \alpha)$ so that

$$y_t = \mu(1 - \alpha) + \alpha y_{t-1} + u_t$$

then $y_t \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\alpha^2}\right)$ for any t as easily verified. Use this to generate data and estimate α , then we get the following result. Now we can see that when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, the empirical coverage probability is strictly less than 0.95. This means that we obtain too narrow confidence intervals as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. Simply inverting a t -test is thus not a good idea to obtain CI when α is believed to be close to 1.

| True alpha | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0.99 |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Mean Bias | -0.0136 | -0.0202 | -0.0275 | -0.0386 | -0.0496 |
| Median Bias | -0.0170 | -0.0182 | -0.0199 | -0.0306 | -0.0406 |
| St. Dev. | 0.1000 | 0.0921 | 0.0824 | 0.0553 | 0.0439 |
| R.M.S.E. | 0.1009 | 0.0942 | 0.0869 | 0.0674 | 0.0662 |
| Cov. Prob. | 0.9495 | 0.9555 | 0.9480 | 0.9225 | 0.7845 |

4. Inclusion of Irrelevant Parameter

Note first that in the hints, θ is a row vector, and thus x_t is also a row vector. For completeness, let us begin from the scratch. The conditional likelihood of an MA(q) process is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\frac{T}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{T}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\varepsilon_t(\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_t(\theta) &:= y_t - \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}(\theta) - \dots - \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q}(\theta) \\ \theta &:= (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q) \end{aligned}$$

The first order conditions with respect to θ read as

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\partial \varepsilon_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \varepsilon_t = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t$$

where $x_t := -\frac{\partial \varepsilon_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$. So the information matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta'} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} \right] &= \frac{1}{\sigma^4} E \left[\sum_{t=1}^T x_t' \varepsilon_t \sum_{s=1}^T x_s \varepsilon_s \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s=1}^T E [x_t' x_s \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{t=1}^T E [x_t' x_t] E \varepsilon_t^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T E x_t' x_t \end{aligned}$$

The third equality holds by the following. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= -\frac{\partial \varepsilon_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = (\varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{t-q}) + \theta_1 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{t-1}}{\partial \theta} + \dots + \theta_q \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{t-q}}{\partial \theta} \\ &= (\varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{t-q}) - \theta_1 x_{t-1} - \dots - \theta_q x_{t-q} \end{aligned}$$

x_t is an AR(q) process and thus can be expressed as a weighed sum of past errors under some condition.¹ For $t > s$, x_t , x_s and ε_s are independent of ε_t , so $E[x_t' x_s \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = E[x_t' x_s \varepsilon_s] E \varepsilon_t = 0$. For $t < s$, x_t , x_s and ε_t are independent of ε_s , so $E[x_t' x_s \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = E[x_t' x_s \varepsilon_t] E \varepsilon_s = 0$. Since the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is the limit of T times the inverse of the information matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} AV(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T E x_t' x_t \right)^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} E \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t' x_t \right] \right)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\text{plim} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t' x_t \right)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (E x_t' x_t)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

¹The condition is invertibility of the MA(q) process of y_t , which is equivalent to causality of the AR(q) process of x_t . If x_t is noncausal stationary, we may use a noncausal expression to claim $E[x_t' x_s \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

where the third equality holds by the (dependent version of) law of large numbers and the continuous mapping theorem, and the last equality holds by identical distribution of x_t .

(i) MA(1) model

Consider $y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}$, then $x_t := -\frac{\partial \varepsilon_t}{\partial \theta_1} = -\theta_1 x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t-1}$ is an AR(1) process. As is well known, the variance of the AR(1) process is

$$Ex_t^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta_1^2}$$

so the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$ is

$$AV(\hat{\theta}_1) = \sigma^2 (Ex_t^2)^{-1} = 1 - \theta_1^2$$

(ii) MA(2) model with $\theta_2 = 0$

Consider $y_t = \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2}$. We estimate both θ_1 and θ_2 , although θ_2 is actually 0.

$$x_t := -\frac{\partial \varepsilon_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = (\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}) - \theta_1 x_{t-1} - \theta_2 x_{t-2}$$

is an AR(2) process with $\theta_2 = 0$. This implies that x_t is actually an AR(1) process.²

$$x_t = -\theta_1 x_{t-1} + (\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2})$$

So

$$Ex_t' x_t = \theta_1^2 Ex_{t-1}' x_{t-1} + E \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 & \varepsilon_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t-2} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2} \varepsilon_{t-1} & \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 \end{bmatrix} - \theta_1 E [x_{t-1}' (\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2})] - \theta_1 E \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2} \end{pmatrix} x_{t-1} \right]$$

²We may obtain $Ex_t' x_t$ from an AR(2) process, and use $\theta_2 = 0$ later. Since $x_t' x_t = [(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2})' - \theta_1 x_{t-1}' - \theta_2 x_{t-2}'] \cdot [(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}) - \theta_1 x_{t-1} - \theta_2 x_{t-2}]$,

$$Ex_t' x_t = \theta_1^2 Ex_{t-1}' x_{t-1} + \theta_2^2 Ex_{t-2}' x_{t-2} + \theta_1 \theta_2 Ex_{t-1}' x_{t-2} + \theta_1 \theta_2 Ex_{t-2}' x_{t-1} - \dots$$

Also since $x_t' x_{t-1} = [(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2})' - \theta_1 x_{t-1}' - \theta_2 x_{t-2}'] x_{t-1}$,

$$Ex_t' x_{t-1} = E(\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2})' x_{t-1} - \theta_1 Ex_{t-1}' x_{t-1} - \theta_2 Ex_{t-2}' x_{t-1}$$

Arranging the above two equations and making use of stationarity of x_t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2) Ex_t' x_t &= \theta_1 \theta_2 Ex_t' x_{t-1} + \theta_1 \theta_2 Ex_{t-1}' x_t + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & -\theta_1 \sigma^2 \\ -\theta_1 \sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ Ex_t' x_{t-1} &= -\theta_1 Ex_t' x_t - \theta_2 Ex_{t-1}' x_t + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ Ex_{t-1}' x_t &= -\theta_1 Ex_t' x_t - \theta_2 Ex_{t-1}' x_t + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Solving the system of equations simultaneously,

$$Ex_t' x_t = \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - \theta_2)[(1 + \theta_2)^2 - \theta_1^2]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \theta_2 & -\theta_1 \\ -\theta_1 & 1 + \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now use $\theta_2 = 0$, then this simplifies to $Ex_t' x_t = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\theta_1 \\ -\theta_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is the same as we get using the other method.

By stationarity of x_t , $Ex'_t x_t = Ex'_{t-1} x_{t-1}$. Note also that

$$E \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 & \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-2} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2}\varepsilon_{t-1} & \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2} \end{pmatrix} x_{t-1} \right] &= E \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-2} & \varepsilon_{t-3} \end{pmatrix} \right] - \theta_1 \underbrace{E \left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2} \end{pmatrix} x_{t-2} \right]}_{=0 \because x_{t-2} \perp (\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2})} \\ &= E \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-2} & \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-3} \\ \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 & \varepsilon_{t-2}\varepsilon_{t-3} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(1 - \theta_1^2)Ex'_t x_t = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & -\theta_1\sigma^2 \\ -\theta_1\sigma^2 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

or equivalently,

$$Ex'_t x_t = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\theta_1 \\ -\theta_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So the asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is

$$AV(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \sigma^2(Ex'_t x_t)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_1 \\ \theta_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The asymptotic variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$ is 1.

Asymptotic Relative Efficiency

From the above result, the asymptotic relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ obtained from MA(2) model with $\theta_2 = 0$ to that obtained from MA(1) model is

$$ARE = \frac{1 - \theta_1^2}{1} = 1 - \theta_1^2$$

This is less than 1. Estimating irrelevant parameter θ_2 increases the variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$, and thus is relatively inefficient.